



K. Chad Burgess  
Director & Deputy General Counsel

[chad.burgess@scana.com](mailto:chad.burgess@scana.com)

April 9, 2018

**VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND  
ELECTRONIC FILING**

David Butler, Esquire  
Public Service Commission of South Carolina  
101 Executive Center Drive  
Columbia, South Carolina 29210

RE: Annual Review of Base Rates for Fuel Costs for South Carolina Electric  
& Gas Company  
Docket No. 2018-2-E

Dear David:

Please accept this letter as South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's response to the Petition to Reconsider ("Petition") filed by the South Carolina Coastal Conservation League and the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy ("Petitioners") in the above-referenced docket. The Petitioners have not raised any issues that would require the Hearing Officer to reconsider his ruling in Order No. 2018-42-H dated April 5, 2018. Instead, the Petitioners re-argue the same issues it argued in its original pleading. For this reason, SCE&G incorporates herein the arguments set forth in its Motion to Dismiss and Response in Opposition as if repeated verbatim and respectfully requests that the Hearing Officer deny reconsideration of this matter.

If you have any questions, please advise.

Very truly yours,

K. Chad Burgess

KCB/kms

cc: Andrew Bateman, Esquire  
Jenny Pittman, Esquire  
Richard Whitt, Esquire  
Scott Elliott, Esquire  
Katherine Ottenweller, Esquire  
J. Blanding Holman IV, Esquire  
(all via electronic mail and U.S. First Class Mail)